

however, about the absence of essays on “grace,” “faith,” “love,” and above all, “hope,” in a dictionary of eschatological terms. These omissions are even more perplexing when “Trilateral Commission” and “United States” are deemed worthy of treatment.

A Scripture Index is very helpful for finding references within the articles. A section listing a bibliography of sources used and suggestions for further study would have improved the work. Especially disappointing is the lack of such recommendations in the articles themselves. The reader, especially a layperson, who desires further information about any of these terms might not know where to look. A brief listing of the most important articles or books should have been included, especially for the major topics. Finally, it is often not clear who holds to the views being discussed. The authors refer regularly to “some popular writers” and “some scholars” without further information. Identification of writers or traditions of the variety of perspectives would be helpful, especially for the reader who wants to pursue the topic further. Although this is certainly unintentional, the lack of such information might imply that this book is intended to provide the final or only word on the subject, rather than an introduction to a vast body of literature.

Those criticisms notwithstanding, this is an informative and helpful dictionary. It is useful as an introduction to the topics, providing succinct, clear definitions and descriptions. It engages the biblical text and the literature at a scholarly level. It is an outstanding reference book and would be a valuable addition to every Christian leader’s library. It is not the only source which a pastor or teacher should consult, but it is an excellent introduction to the subject. It achieves its stated goals: “To move beyond the oversimplified and self-convinced viewpoints and discussions of the popular writers on this subject to provide nuanced, but understandable explanations and discussions based on the top evangelical scholarship available today. In addition, the goal for this book is to provide a solid explanation for and defense of all serious views on prophecy held by evangelicals, along with an appropriate critique pointing out each view’s weaknesses as well” (pp. 7–8).

Glenn R. Kreider
Dallas Theological Seminary, Dallas, TX

Making a Meal of It: Rethinking the Theology of the Lord’s Supper. By Ben Witherington III. Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2008, 135 pp., \$15.69, paper.

Ben Witherington III, Amos Professor of New Testament for Doctoral Studies at Asbury Theological Seminary, Wilmore, KY, is best known for his socio-rhetorical commentaries, but also for attacking theological complacency with a light-hearted tone. *Making a Meal of It: Rethinking the Theology of the Lord’s Supper* is the second of his three-part series on the

sacraments. Witherington informs potential readers in his September 13, 2007 blog (<http://benwitherington.blogspot.com/2007/09/making-meal-of-it-rethinking-theology.html>) that he intends to “encourage a going back *ad fontes* . . . and rethinking our basic assumptions.”

Witherington begins with an examination of the Jewish Passover. He brings out the corporate remembrance of a turning away of God’s wrath (rather than atonement for sin) and the celebration in the midst of home and family (rather than the temple). He then discusses meal customs of the first century, including the way ceremonies function to re-affirm membership in a group. Here and throughout the book, Witherington creatively uses modern allusions and humor which, while occasionally jolting, also help connect the reader with the past.

In chapter 2, after a brief survey of Jewish and Essene meals, Witherington offers a close reading of the Last Supper account in Mark and finds an event which was clearly a Passover, eaten in secret, communicating Jesus’ understanding of himself as the sacrifice “inaugurating a new Passover . . . that sets people free, this time from sin” (p. 26). Witherington interacts with Brian Capper, concluding that Luke’s “shorthand” for the Lord’s Supper was “the breaking of bread” (p. 30), a fellowship meal which took place in homes. The struggle to bring scholarly material to a more popular audience is evident here. Often, Witherington succeeds admirably. Occasionally, however, the lack of outside references make his conclusions look speculative.

Chapter 3 begins with a description of Greco-Roman meals. Witherington’s analysis of 1 Corinthians 10–11 in light of these conventions is detailed, but the exegetical organization makes the argument somewhat difficult to follow. He notes the typology in 1 Corinthians 10 and links 1 Corinthians 8–10 with “the decree of James” (p. 43) in Acts 15. He goes on to describe the way all share “in the material and spiritual benefits of Christ’s sacrifice” (p. 45). He notes that the Lord’s Supper was still taking place in homes as part of a larger meal, and that Paul was calling the Corinthians to “carry on in a way that comports with . . . equality” (p. 49). In a striking analysis of the self-examination directives, he shows that discernment refers to the cognizance “that this is a . . . group-building ceremony” (p. 59).

In the next chapter, Witherington analyzes John 13–17 as a series of concatenated meals. He notes the reversal of status expressed in the footwashing ceremony. An explanation of the influence of Greco-Roman customs on Jews would have been a nice addition. Witherington then demonstrates that the beloved disciple was Lazarus and sees combining the footwashing, the Passover meal, and the betrayal of Judas as a way to emphasize mutual compassion and forgiveness. In this chapter he deftly handles a wealth of information and sources.

After a quick look at other NT references, Witherington examines the evidence of the *Didache*. He notes the “author’s primary concern” with “prayer” (p. 92), but also that the Lord’s Supper was celebrated in the

context of a community meal with an eschatological focus, open only to the baptized, where the “unity of Jesus’ followers” (p. 93) is in view. He also brings out the lack of any “magical view of the elements” (p. 94) or continuing connection to a Passover meal.

In chapter 6, Witherington looks at second-century practices, noting first of all their diversity. He points out the “trend towards more literalism” (p. 109), although not to the extent of later centuries. New issues did cause changes, however, specifically the move of the Lord’s Supper from a lay celebration in homes to a clerically controlled ceremony in a church. Further, growing anti-Judaism brought a re-interpretation of the OT as a blueprint for Christian communities, which increased the power of the clergy and removed women from participation.

Chapter 7 starts by noting that “[h]istorians of ritual will tell you that when the symbols change, the social reality . . . is changing, as well” (p. 114). Thus, the move from a common loaf to a wafer and “the reserving” of “the wine” “for the priest” shifted the emphasis away from “the unity of the body” (p. 114). Witherington then traces the development of the doctrine of transubstantiation up through the Council of Trent and critiques it saying, “It is . . . the person of Christ, not his body parts . . . that one worships if one is a Christian” (p. 120). Moving on to the Reformers, he does an admirable job of nuancing Luther and Zwingli’s views, noting Luther’s move towards “consubstantiation” (p. 122) and Zwingli’s emphasis on “fellowship within the community of faith” (p. 123).

In his last chapter, Witherington attempts to “craft both a theology and practice of the Lord’s Supper” (p. 125). He begins by asserting that “the Lord’s Supper should be a memorable corporate act of the people of God” (p. 127). He suggests that holding the Lord’s Supper in the context of a fellowship meal included in the worship service would “add back the element of *koinōnia*,” and that the use of one loaf which is broken would restore the “double symbol” “of Christ’s own body” and “of the church as the body of Christ” (p. 131). He takes approaching “this meal in a worthy manner” to be a realization “that this is *our occasion to share a meal with Jesus*” (p. 133, emphasis original) and strikingly links this to the disciples’ encounter with Jesus on the Emmaus Road. He suggests the celebration be accompanied by “a renewal of good preaching” on “the Lord himself and his salvific work” (p. 141).

While this work contains fewer references than one might have hoped, its renewal of a vision of the Lord’s Supper as a corporate celebration as well as its accessibility make it a much-welcomed addition to current works on ecclesiology.

Laura Hunt and J. Brian Tucker
Michigan Theological Seminary, Plymouth, MI



Copyright and Use:

As an ATLAS user, you may print, download, or send articles for individual use according to fair use as defined by U.S. and international copyright law and as otherwise authorized under your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement.

No content may be copied or emailed to multiple sites or publicly posted without the copyright holder(s)' express written permission. Any use, decompiling, reproduction, or distribution of this journal in excess of fair use provisions may be a violation of copyright law.

This journal is made available to you through the ATLAS collection with permission from the copyright holder(s). The copyright holder for an entire issue of a journal typically is the journal owner, who also may own the copyright in each article. However, for certain articles, the author of the article may maintain the copyright in the article. Please contact the copyright holder(s) to request permission to use an article or specific work for any use not covered by the fair use provisions of the copyright laws or covered by your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement. For information regarding the copyright holder(s), please refer to the copyright information in the journal, if available, or contact ATLA to request contact information for the copyright holder(s).

About ATLAS:

The ATLA Serials (ATLAS®) collection contains electronic versions of previously published religion and theology journals reproduced with permission. The ATLAS collection is owned and managed by the American Theological Library Association (ATLA) and received initial funding from Lilly Endowment Inc.

The design and final form of this electronic document is the property of the American Theological Library Association.